IWOCL 2024

The 12th International Workshop on OpenCL and SYCL

Emulating Command Buffer Extensions with OpenCL Layers

James Brodman, Intel Corporation Ben Ashbaugh (Intel), Ewan Crawford (Codeplay)

APRIL 8-11, 2024 | CHICAGO, USA | IWOCL.ORG

OpenCL Command Buffers were provisionally released November 2021!

... but implementation support remains low

Extension 1	1	✓ ↓↑	× ↓↑	
cl_khr_command_buffer		2.5%	97.5%	

(Data from opencl.gpuinfo.org, March 2024)

Problem Statement

- Some OpenCL extensions take a long time to implement
- Some OpenCL devices may never support an OpenCL extension
- Lack of implementations hinders adoption:
 - Applications won't support an extension without implementations
 - Other implementors won't support an extension without applications
- We need a way to break this cycle!
 - Improve developer confidence that a feature will be available
 - Provide a competent fallback when an implementation is unavailable

We implemented support for command buffers in an OpenCL layer, demonstrating one way to break the cycle.

Prior Work

OpenCL Intercept Layer

- The OpenCL Intercept Layer can emulate some OpenCL extensions
- How does this work?
 - Augment existing APIs, e.g.
 clGetDeviceInfo
 - Implement new APIs by hooking clGetExtensionFunctionAddress
- Functional, but a heavyweight solution

O opencl-intercept-layer/docs/cor × +	-		×
← → C 😅 github.com/intel/opencl-intercept-layer/blob/main/docs/controls.md ڬ ☆ 🖸 🛛 🕏			:
Dependencies opencl-intercept-layer / docs / controls.md	ſ	Тор	•
Preview Code Blame	•	∷≡	
Controls for Emulating Features			
<pre>Emulate_cl_khr_extended_versioning (bool)</pre>			
If set to a nonzero value, the Intercept Layer for OpenCL Applications will emulate support for the cl_khr_extended_versioning extension.			
Emulate_cl_khr_semaphore (bool)			
If set to a nonzero value, the Intercept Layer for OpenCL Applications will emulate support for the cl_khr_semaphore extension.			
Emulate_cl_intel_unified_shared_memory (bool)			
If set to a nonzero value, the Intercept Layer for OpenCL Applications will emulate support for the cl_intel_unified_shared_memory extension USM APIs using SVM APIs. This can be useful to test USM applications on an implementation that supports SVM, but not USM.			
	_		•

<u>https://github.com/intel/opencl-intercept-</u> <u>layer/blob/main/docs/controls.md#controls-for-</u> <u>emulating-features</u>

Installable OpenCL Layers

- Installable OpenCL Layers can also intercept and augment OpenCL functions
- Lighter weight, easy to enable and disable individual layers
- Most prior work for tracing and validation
 - No (known) prior work to emulate extensions
- We decided to try this mechanism – and it worked!

OpenCL ICD Loader with Layers API Call Workflow

With layer support enabled, the OpenCL ICD loader will:

- first redirect calls to the different active layers,
- then dispatch the call to the correct vendor driver.



From: <u>https://github.com/Kerilk/OpenCL-Layers-</u> <u>Tutorial/blob/main/presentation/LayersForOpenCL.pdf</u> (IWOCL 2021)

How the Emulation Layer Works

Three Classes of Layer Functions

1. Emulation Functions: new functionality, implemented entirely within the layer

```
cl int CL API CALL clCommandBarrierWithWaitListKHR_EMU(
    cl command buffer khr cmdbuf,
    cl command queue command queue,
    cl uint num sync points in wait list,
    const cl_sync_point_khr* sync_point_wait_list,
    cl sync point khr* sync point,
    cl mutable command_khr* mutable_handle)
    if (!CommandBuffer::isValid(cmdbuf)) {
        return CL INVALID COMMAND BUFFER KHR;
    }
    if (cl int errorCode = cmdbuf->checkRecordErrors(
            command queue,
            num sync points in wait list,
            sync point wait list,
            mutable handle)) {
        return errorCode;
    }
    cmdbuf->addCommand(
        BarrierWithWaitList::create(cmdbuf, command queue),
        num_sync_points_in_wait_list,
        sync point wait list,
        sync point,
        mutable handle);
    return CL SUCCESS;
```

{

}

Three Classes of Layer Functions

- 1. <u>Emulation Functions</u>: new functionality, implemented entirely within the layer
- 2. <u>Override Functions</u>: add functionality in some cases, otherwise pass along

```
static cl int CL API_CALL clGetDeviceInfo_layer(
    cl device id device,
    cl device info param name,
    size t param value size,
    void* param value,
   size t* param value size ret)
    cl int errorCode = CL SUCCESS;
   if (clGetDeviceInfo override(
            device,
            param name,
            param_value_size,
            param value,
            param value size ret,
            &errorCode) == false) {
        return g pNextDispatch->clGetDeviceInfo(
            device,
            param name,
            param value size,
            param value,
            param_value_size_ret);
    }
    return errorCode;
}
```

Three Classes of Layer Functions

- 1. <u>Emulation Functions</u>: new functionality, implemented entirely within the layer
- 2. <u>Override Functions</u>: add functionality in some cases, otherwise pass along
- **3.** <u>Bookkeeping Functions</u>: record some info, then unconditionally pass along

```
static cl int CL API CALL clReleaseEvent layer(
    cl event event)
    cl uint refCount = 0;
    g pNextDispatch->clGetEventInfo(
        event.
        CL EVENT REFERENCE COUNT,
        sizeof(refCount),
        &refCount,
        nullptr);
   if (refCount == 1) {
        auto& context = getLayerContext();
        auto it = context.EventMap.find(event);
        if (it != context.EventMap.end()) {
            g pNextDispatch->clReleaseEvent(it->second);
            context.EventMap.erase(it);
    }
   return g pNextDispatch->clReleaseEvent(event);
```

}

Command Buffer Construction "Records" Commands

- Record each command in the command buffer
 - Plus, any arguments
 - Plus, some bookkeeping info
- Notes:
 - Need to retain OpenCL objects!
 - Need to clone OpenCL kernels to preserve kernel args!

```
struct CopyBuffer : Command
```

```
static std::unique_ptr<CopyBuffer> create(
    cl_command_buffer_khr cmdbuf, cl_command_queue queue,
    cl_mem src_buffer, cl_mem dst_buffer,
    size_t src_offset, size_t dst_offset,
    size_t size)
{
```

```
auto ret = std::unique_ptr<CopyBuffer>(
    new CopyBuffer(cmdbuf, queue));
```

ret->src_buffer = src_buffer; ret->dst_buffer = dst_buffer; ret->src_offset = src_offset; ret->dst_offset = dst_offset; ret->size = size;

g_pNextDispatch->clRetainMemObject(ret->src_buffer); g_pNextDispatch->clRetainMemObject(ret->dst_buffer);

```
return ret;
```

```
}
```

// <snip>

```
cl_mem src_buffer = nullptr;
cl_mem dst_buffer = nullptr;
size_t src_offset = 0;
size_t dst_offset = 0;
size_t size = 0;
```

private:

CopyBuffer(
 cl_command_buffer_khr cmdbuf,
 cl_command_queue queue) : Command(cmdbuf, queue, CL_COMMAND_COPY_BUFFER) {};

```
};
```

Command Buffer Enqueue "Plays Back" Commands

- Enqueues each recorded command into the provided command queue
- Notes:
 - Need to map sync points to events
 - May need to insert command queue barriers in some cases (not shown)

```
struct CopyBuffer : Command
    // <snip>
    int playback(
        cl command queue queue,
        std::vector<cl event>& deps) const override
    {
        auto wait list = getEventWaitList(deps);
        auto signal = getEventSignalPtr(deps);
        return g pNextDispatch->clEnqueueCopyBuffer(
            queue.
            src buffer,
             dst buffer,
            src offset,
             dst offset,
             size,
            static cast<cl uint>(wait list.size()),
            wait_list.data(),
            signal);
    // <snip>
};
```

Brief Retrospective

Most things went well!

- OpenCL installable layer mechanism is solid!
- Many OpenCL features make layering easy:
 - Built-in Reference Counting and Object Queries
 - **clCloneKernel** to Clone Kernels and their Arguments



Some things were tricky...

- How can we do event profiling for command buffers?
 - Need to profile a group of commands
- Solution: add barriers with event profiling





Verdict: Success!





(Data collected with the OpenCL Intercept Layer, IWOCL 2018)

Some things were tricky...

• How can we do error checking when commands are recorded?

clCommandNDRangeKernelKHR does not return CL_INVALID_WORK_GROUP_SIZE when invalid work size are passed #95

Open mfrancepillois opened this issue on Dec 20, 2023 · 5 comments

	mfrancepillois commented on Dec 20, 2023		Assignees	쒛
	While testing the Command Buffer Emulation layer, I noticed that clCommandNDRangeKernelKHR does not return		No one—assign yourself	
	CL_INVALID_WORK_GROUP_SIZE when invalid work size is passed whereas clEnqueueNDRangeKernel returns it.			~
	When using the Command Buffer Emulation layer this error code is actually returned when calling clEnqueueCommandBufferKHR	ε.	Labels	53
			None yet	
	Test case			
	Leat up a simple test based on O/Julia comple code to show this problem.		Projects	钧
	r set up a simple test based on 0450na sample code to snow this problem.		None vet	





Tentative Solution:

<u>Setup:</u>

- 1. Create a "Test Queue" when command buffer is created
- 2. Also, create a "Blocking Event" when command buffer is created
- 3. Enqueue a Barrier dependent on the "Blocking Event"



Recording:

- 4. Enqueue commands to "Test Queue" before recording
 - Command does not execute due to barrier dependency
 - But error checking is performed!

Finalization:

- 5. Set "Blocking Event" to error state when command buffer is finalized
 - All dependent command discarded!

Verdict: Partial Success?



EwanC commented on Jan 18

I tried the cmdbuf-emu-test-queues branch out with the SYCL-Graph tests we had which motivated this issue, and setting g_cEnhancedErrorChecking does indeed fix the issues. See

- https://github.com/intel/llvm/blob/sycl/sycl/test-e2e/Graph/RecordReplay/work_group_size_prop.cpp
- https://github.com/intel/llvm/blob/sycl/sycl/test-e2e/Graph/Explicit/work_group_size_prop.cpp
- https://github.com/intel/llvm/blob/sycl/sycl/test-e2e/Graph/Inputs/work_group_size_prop.cpp

- Relies on tricky behavior / dusty corners of the spec
- Still in a branch, probably will not be enabled by default

. . .

Some things were tricky...

- How can we track command buffer states?
 - RECORDING is straightforward...
 - **EXECUTABLE** is straightforward, too...
 - PENDING is complicated!
- No current solution
- Possibility:
 - Track event for the last enqueue, test if it is COMPLETE?
 - Might work, but adds complexity and overhead



Some things were tricky...

- The PENDING state is the only layer CTS failure!
- Nice to fix, but probably doesn't affect much code in practice...



\$./test conformance/extensions/cl khr command buffer/test cl khr command buffer info state Initializing random seed to 0. Requesting Default device based on command line for platform index 3 and device index 0 Compute Device Name = Intel(R) UHD Graphics 770, Compute Device Vendor = Intel(R) Corporation, Compute Device Version = OpenCL 3.0 NEO , CL C Version = OpenCL C 1.2 Device latest conformance version passed: v2023-05-16-00 Supports single precision denormals: YES sizeof(void*) = 8 (host) sizeof(void*) = 8 (device) info state... ERROR: Unexpected result of CL COMMAND BUFFER STATE KHR query !! (!(state == expected) from /home/bashbaug/git/OpenCL-CTS/test conformance/extensions/cl khr command buffer/command buffer get command buffer info.cpp:222) ERROR: verify state failed! ((unknown) from /home/bashbaug/git/OpenCL-CTS/test_conformance/extensions/cl_khr_command_buffer/command_buffer_get_command_buffer_info.cpp:260) ERROR: RunStateInfoTest failed! ((unknown) from /home/bashbaug/git/OpenCL-CTS/test conformance/extensions/cl khr command buffer/command buffer get command buffer info.cpp:69) ERROR: Test Failed! ((unknown) from /home/bashbaug/git/OpenCL-CTS/test conformance/extensions/cl khr command buffer/basic command buffer.h:105) info state FAILED PASSED sub-test. FAILED test.

Current Usage Examples

Conformance Test Suite Development

Test CL_COMMAND_BUFFER_CONTEXT_KHR #1697

EwanC merged 1 commit into KhronosGroup:main from EwanC:ewan/command-buffer_context_query [on Jun 28, 2023 }⊸ Merged Conversation 4 -O- Commits 1 Checks 6 Files changed 3 EwanC commented on Apr 5, 2023 • edited -Member) · · · Test coverage for spec PR KhronosGroup/OpenCL-Docs#899 which introduces a new cl_khr_command_buffer query for the cl context. \odot Test CL_COMMAND_BUFFER_CONTEXT_KHR ... -0f83480d EwanC marked this pull request as ready for review 10 months ago EwanC commented on May 24, 2023 Member) (Author) ••• Marked this as ready for review as i've checked it passes with the emulator layer after commit bashbaug/SimpleOpenCLSamples@ 54a0ac4 \odot

Develop and debug the CTS on any device!

Bonus: CTS found a few bugs in the layer, too...

Layered Extension Development

- cl_khr_command_buffer is a base specification, designed to support additional functionality via layered extensions
 - Examples:
 - cl_khr_command_buffer_multi_device
 - cl_khr_command_buffer_mutable_dispatch
 - cl_khr_command_buffer_mutable_memory_commands





Emulation layer provides a convenient mechanism to quickly prototype layered extensions!

High-Level Language Feature Development

 SYCL Graph is an experimental oneAPI extension to build and execute entire graphs of commands:



• For OpenCL backends, graphs are recorded into command buffers

Emulation layer provides a convenient mechanism to develop, debug, and test the SYCL Graph extension!

(Diagram from "Towards Deferred Execution of a SYCL Command Graph", IWOCL 2023)

A Brief Look at Performance

Key Performance Questions

- Is the layer expensive?
 - How does layer performance compare to non-command buffer performance?
- Test Parameters:
 - Submission time or completion time?
 - How many kernels?

- Is the layer effective?
 - How does layer performance compare to native command buffer performance?
- Test Parameters:
 - Submission time or completion time?
 - How many kernels?
 - In-order or out-of-order?

Developed microbenchmarks to answer these questions!

("How to Optimize Compute Drivers? Let's Start with Writing Good Benchmarks!", IWOCL 2022)

Microbenchmark #1: ExecuteCommandBuffer

Enqueue N kernels directly?



• Or enqueue N kernels in a Command Buffer?



Measure submission time or completion time

https://github.com/bashbaug/compute-benchmarks/blob/micros-for-iwocl-2024/source/benchmarks/ api_overhead_benchmark/implementations/ocl/execute_command_buffer_ocl.cpp

IWOCL 2024, April 8-11

ExecuteCommandBuffer Results

Command Buffer Execution Time With Layer (Normalized to Non-Command Buffer Time, Lower Is Better)



IWOCL 2024, April 8-11

Submission Time: ExecuteCommandBuffer(api=ocl UseCommandBuffers=1 NumKernels=10 KernelExecutionTime=1 MeasureCompletionTime=0) Completion Time: ExecuteCommandBuffer(api=ocl UseCommandBuffers=1 NumKernels=10 KernelExecutionTime=1 MeasureCompletionTime=1)

ExecuteCommandBuffer Results



Layer performance is acceptable.

IWOCL 2024, April 8-11

Submission Time: ExecuteCommandBuffer(api=ocl UseCommandBuffers=1 NumKernels=10 KernelExecutionTime=1 MeasureCompletionTime=0) Completion Time: ExecuteCommandBuffer(api=ocl UseCommandBuffers=1 NumKernels=10 KernelExecutionTime=1 MeasureCompletionTime=1)

Summary and Conclusion

Summary and Conclusion

- Successfully emulated command buffers with an OpenCL layer!
 - Almost all features are implemented, layer is *almost* conformant
- Command buffer emulation layer is useful!
 - Accelerates layered extension design and development
 - Accelerates CTS development
 - Accelerates SYCL Graph development
 - Handy alternative for debugging and performance analysis
- OpenCL layer mechanism is robust, performant, and capable
 - Consider emulation for future extensions to improve adoption?
- Thank you!

Disclaimers

© Intel Corporation. Intel, the Intel logo, and other Intel marks are trademarks of Intel Corporation or its subsidiaries. Other names and brands may be claimed as the property of others.

Related Links and References

- Command Buffer Emulation Layer
 - https://github.com/bashbaug/SimpleOpenCLSamples/tree/main/layers/10_cmdbufemu
- Command Buffer Microbenchmarks
 - https://github.com/bashbaug/compute-benchmarks/tree/micros-for-iwocl-2024

Referenced IWOCL Presentations

- Layers for OpenCL (IWOCL 2021) (<u>slides</u>)
- Debugging and Analyzing Programs Using the Intercept Layer for OpenCL Applications (IWOCL 2018) (<u>slides</u>)
- Towards Deferred Execution of a SYCL Command Graph (IWOCL 2023) (<u>slides</u>)
- How to Optimize Compute Drivers? Let's Start with Writing Good Benchmarks! (IWOCL 2022) (<u>slides</u>)

System Configuration

Host:	
OS:	Linux bashbaug-newpc 6.5.0-26-generic #26~22.04.1-Ubuntu SMP PREEMPT_DYNAMIC Tue Mar 1210:22:43 UTC 2 x86_64 x86_64 x86_64 GNU/Linux
CPU:	12th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i9-12900K
Drivers:	
NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3060	535.86.10
Intel(R) Arc(TM) A750 Graphics	24.09.28717.12
Intel(R) UHD Graphics 770	24.09.28717.12
POCL	PoCL 5.0 Linux, RelWithDebInfo, RELOC, SPIR, SPIR-V, LLVM 14.0.0, SLEEF, POCL_DEBUG (built from tag <u>v5.0</u> , commit <u>Obffce0</u>)
oneAPI Construction Kit	ComputeAorta 4.0.0 Linux x86_64 (RelWithDebInfo, 85dfbf7e) (built from commit <u>85dfbf7</u> , with LLVM 19.0.0)
<u>Software:</u>	
Emulation Layer	(built from commit <u>80222e5</u>)
Compute-Benchmarks	(built from commit <u>17b58e0</u>)

